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THE PROBLEM

The Identification Gap

SDG Target 16.9: By 2030, provide legal identity for all, including birth registration

Globally, an estimated 1.1 billion people are unable to prove their identity

2 billion people do not have access to financial services

Digital payments are growing at an estimated 12.7% annually, and are forecast to reach 726 billion transactions annually by 2020.

The Verification Gap

Even with ID, 3.4 Billion people are not able to use it effectively it may be impossible to verify validity + authenticate holder against claimed identity

Credentials that cannot be verified will only provide a limited level of assurance, and may not be widely accepted

Exclusion

In UK for example 25% of financial services applications do not go through due to difficulties with KYC process.

Open Accounts with Financial Service Providers

Prove eligibility for and access social and health benefits

Legal Compliance

Send and Receive Payments

Provide consent to share data through APIs
TYPE OF DATA REQUIRED

Bank Account Opening

- Name: 12% Proof required, 88% Declaration based
- Date of birth: 11% Declaration based
- Nationality: 10% Not required
- Address: 8% Not required
- SSN or similar: 9% Not required
- Place of birth: 8% Not required
- Income: 7% Not required
- Tax ID: 8% Not required
- Employment: 8% Not required
- PEP (self-declaration): 8% Not required
- Related parties: 6% Not required

Opening a mobile money account

- Name: 8% Proof required, 2% Declaration based, 90% Not required
- Date of birth: 6% Declaration based, 4% Not required
- Nationality: 6% Declaration based, 4% Not required
- Address: 5% Declaration based, 5% Not required
- SSN or similar: 4% Declaration based, 6% Not required
- Place of birth: 3% Declaration based, 7% Not required
- Related parties: 3% Declaration based, 7% Not required
- Income: 2% Declaration based, 8% Not required
- Tax ID: 1% Declaration based, 9% Not required
- Employment: 1% Declaration based, 9% Not required
- PEP (self-declaration): 1% Not required

Domestic wire transfers

- Name: 11% Proof required, 1% Declaration based, 88% Not required
- Date of birth: 1% Declaration based, 9% Not required
- Nationality: 2% Declaration based, 8% Not required
- Address: 2% Declaration based, 8% Not required
- Place of birth: 3% Declaration based, 7% Not required
- SSN or similar: 4% Declaration based, 6% Not required
- Income: 4% Declaration based, 6% Not required
- Tax ID: 4% Declaration based, 6% Not required
- Employment: 3% Declaration based, 7% Not required
- Related parties: 3% Declaration based, 7% Not required

Proof required
Declaration based
Not required
TYPE OF ID DOCUMENT TO OPEN ACCOUNTS IN COMMERCIAL BANKS

Note: Percentages based on 124 jurisdictions. ID = identity document.

2017 Global Financial Inclusion and Consumer Protection Survey
Depending on product and jurisdiction, specific events like reissuance of online banking password or returning mortgage documents, require revalidating ID verification.

Customer required to provide documents to proof identity.

Financial service provider has to verify documents provided by the client against a trusted and independent source (R10).

To enable access transaction accounts, some form of authentication is agreed between provider and customer: *Password, PIN, Hardware Token etc.*

*FATF guidelines dictate CDD process*

Repeating CDD periodically – Varies based on product and jurisdiction – from annual to every 5 years.
GLOBAL POLICY RESPONSE

UN SDO 16.9: “Have a **legal ID for all by** 2030 including registry at birth”

The G20 High Level Principles on Digital Financial Services acknowledge the need to **foster ID systems to enable access and usage of** DFS. (Principle 7)

Financial Action Task Force (FATF)-40 Recommendations for KYC and CDD. **Guidance Note on Digital ID**. Unserved and underserved people have to be financially active and may be forced to conduct their transactions through unregulated channels when they lack access to formal financial services.

Payments Aspects of Financial Inclusion. **Digital ID a key supporting infrastructure** to advance Payments.

ICCR. Policy Guidance on Financial Inclusion. **Need to uniquely identify each individual and legal entity** in the database.
G20 DIGITAL ID ONBOARDING: FINDINGS

Digital IDs are important to public policy and service delivery and require significant support and investment.

Digital Identity Can Be A Critical Enabler for Financial Inclusion: Easier CDD/account opening, streamlined authentication, more cost effective onboarding, simplified agent services, easier credit monitoring, lower cost payments & remittances.

There may be gains from decoupling identity verification from other functions.


Digital IDs Can Help Bring More MSMEs Into the Formal Financial Sector: Formalization opens access to credit, working capital and payment services.

Digital IDs Can Support the Establishment of e-CDD Registries.

Digital IDs Help Financial Service Providers Streamline Their Business Operations: Registration, transaction monitoring, credit risk assessment, compliance, reporting. Lower overall business costs can help lower fees.

Maximum benefits are achieved when ID is applied to all residents and not just citizens.
1. Integrated Framework with the National ID System
2. Regulatory Framework to capture the challenges of Digital ID
3. Develop an Oversight Model for all participants
4. Develop authentication model that allows for consumer protection
5. Clear Accountability of Participants
6. Foster services provided by the Private Sector
7. Monitor new technologies and enable cooperation at domestic and international level
Financial institutions should be prohibited from keeping anonymous accounts or accounts in obviously fictitious names.

FIs should conduct CDD under the following circumstances:

- (i) Onboarding of new clients;
- (ii) Occasional transactions above 15,000 USD-Euros; or (ii) Electronic Transfers based on R16;
- (iii) Suspicious illegal activity of ML or TF; or
- (iv) When the FI has doubts about the veracity and reliability of the clients’ data.

Identify the client and the ultimate beneficiary using data, documents and reliable information from independent sources.

Ultimate Beneficiary and Client

CDD must be done with an risk based approach (RBA).

Framework for adequacy of Digital ID Systems to R10

Currently under consultation until November 29.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CDD Requirements</th>
<th>Key Components of Digital ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification/Verification R.10(a)</td>
<td><strong>Identity Proofing</strong>- Who are you?  Step 1 Obtain identifiers and ID evidence for those attributes. Step 2 Validate. Step 3- Verify ID evidence Step 4- Resolve Identity Proofed person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Binding</strong>- Issue credentials or authenticators linking the person in possession of the credentials to the on-boarded customer/account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Authentication</strong>- Are you the identified person? Who you claim to be? This applies if the regulated entity is conducting identification of a pre-existing ID system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANALOGUE IDENTITY

**Identity** – Set of physical and context attributes that allow to differentiate one person from another.

**Legal Identity/Official Identity** - Set of characteristics of a person that are recognized by the government (through a CRVS of National ID system) as key attributes to differentiate one person from another and enables the person to Access rights and meet obligations.

DIGITAL IDENTITY

Official Identity as the specification of a unique natural person that:

(i) is based on characteristics that are unique to one individual and context

(ii) Recognized by the State of regulatory authorities for official purposes

(iii) Which can be created electronically or documentary but binding, authentication credentialing and portability is done electronically. (APIs, Databases, biometrics)

In the context of e-signatures it is also necessary to validate the identity of the individual who signed the document.

**Identitas identitatis** (=to be) vs **Identification** (=be recognized)**
Vulnerabilities of some authentication factors used
• Credential stuffing, phishing, PIN code capture and replay, forged logging attacks

Consider potential exclusion aspects
• Lack of biometrics, or specific groups that facial recognition might encounter failures
• Allow for alternatives

Authentication is responsibility of the regulated entity
• MFA
• SCA under RTS of the PSD2 (Strong Customer Authentication)
ASSURANCE DECISION FRAMEWORK

**Question 1**
Is the digital ID system authorised* by government for use in customer due diligence (CDD)?

- **YES**
- **NO**

* Authorities have either allowed or mandated the use of the ID for CDD purposes

**Digital ID is reliable and independent and can be used for CDD**

**Question 2**
Do you know the robustness and assurance level(s) of the digital ID system?
- Government provides general purpose ID, or
- Government assures / audits / certifies, or
- Government-approved entity audits / certifies

- **YES**
- **NO**

**Action:** Perform or obtain assurance assessment

**Question 3**
Does the digital ID system provide a sufficient assurance level for the associated money laundering / terrorist financing risk situation?

- **YES**
- **NO**

**Digital ID not reliable or independent.**
Do not use for CDD unless it can be adequately supplemented.

**Decision:** If multiple, select appropriate solution for CDD and other factors

**additional information or risk mitigation measures may be required**
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR AUTHORITIES

➢ Develop clear guidelines or regulations allowing the appropriate, risk-based use of reliable, independent digital ID systems by regulated entities for AML/CFT purposes.

➢ Assess whether existing regulations and guidance on CDD accommodate digital ID systems.

➢ Adopt principles or outcomes-based criteria when establishing the required attributes, identity evidence and processes for proving official identity for the purposes of CDD

➢ Foster an efficient, integrated approach to digital ID through adequate supervisory tools.

➢ Encourage a flexible, risk-based approach to using digital ID systems for CDD that supports financial inclusion.

Guidance for Tiered CDD
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR AUTHORITIES

➢ Assess risks and develop a framework to effectively address such risks. Assessment and mitigating measures might involve coordination with all the stakeholders in the ID ecosystem.

➢ Consider a certification or auditing framework or by approving expert bodies to perform such role.

➢ Government authorities providing Digital ID should also be transparent regarding their assurance framework.
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REGULATED ENTITIES

➢ Take an informed risk-based approach to relying on digital ID systems for CDD that includes:
   a. understanding the digital ID system’s assurance level/s, particularly for identity proofing and authentication, and
   b. ensuring that the assurance level/s are appropriate for the ML/TF risks associated with the customer, product, jurisdiction, geographic reach.

➢ Consider whether digital ID systems with lower assurance levels may be appropriate for simplified due diligence in cases of low ML/TF risk.

➢ Non face-to-face customer identification is considered high risk by default. Under a robust Assurance framework it might be standard and even low risk.
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SERVICE PROVIDERS

➢ Understand the AML/CFT requirements for CDD (particularly customer identification/verification and ongoing due diligence) and other related regulations, including requirements for regulated entities for record keeping.

➢ Seek assurance testing and certification by the government or an approved expert body, or where these are not available, another internationally reputable expert body.

➢ Provide transparent information to AML/CFT regulated entities about the digital ID system’s assurance levels for identity proofing, authentication, and, where applicable, federation/interoperability.
INTERNATIONAL EXPERIENCES

Financial Inclusion

Access: Meet CDD requirements
Enhance: Build a transaction history

Electronic Payments

Enrolment: Fostered enrolment of users
Efficiency: Reduced time in completing transactions

Social Protection

Identifying correct beneficiaries: Those that meet criteria
Delivery: Ensuring that those who received the subsidy are the ones that effectively qualify for such subsidy

India Stack

financial inclusion went from 35% in 2011 to 80% in 2017

PromptPay

increased electronic payments in 83% in 2018

~US$248mn
USE OF DIGITAL ID: MONEY TRANSFERS

Collaboration model with strong-KYC capabilities for real-time money transfer

Person A registering with service provider (SP) and bank with e-ID and biometric data
- Person A authorizes payment –
  - using 2-factor life biometrics
  - One-time password

For on-boarding, SP and bank conducts e-KYC authentication of
Person A’s personal data with e-ID issuing authority (name, ID number and biometric data like facial recognition)
- To verify identity of the applicant
- To confirm ID not fake or Applicant not using other person’s ID in the application
- To confirm the subsequent service/money transfer is made by the registrant (through validation using biometric data like facial recognition technology)

Money transfer via mobile payment service

ID issuing authority

Database

Person B

WORLD BANK GROUP
Digital ID can serve also to establish e-KYC registries or CDD utilities
The Bankers’ Association of the Philippines (BAP) is expected to launch its blockchain-powered e-KYC and ID registry in 2019.

The registry is only for individual account holders.

A customer would need to present a valid government ID or provide biometric information, and authorize any participating bank to access validated information another participating bank with whom the customer already has an account.

All information is expected to be stored in the respective banks’ own secure systems and no information is to be stored in the registry.

Individual banks continue to be responsible for data privacy and for accuracy of customer information.

This facility, however, is only available to banks that are members of the BAP. At present, it is not clear if other financial institutions will be able to access the registry.

The project has the ‘blessings’ of the Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas.
Singapore

- The Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS) had unveiled a plan to pilot a national KYC utility for financial services, based on the MyInfo digital identity service – which was jointly developed by the Ministry of Finance and GovTech, the lead agency for digital and data strategy in Singapore.

- The KYC utility was meant to be a shared service among banks, to make it easier for financial institutions to get customer verification done in a more seamless way.

- A pilot had been run in the first quarter of 2017 year with four Singaporean banks expanding the MyInfo service to the financial industry for more efficient KYC using trusted, government-collected personal data.

- This project was eventually shelved in late 2018 as costs surpassed estimates.

Steps in E-KYC through MyInfo


Steps in Consent-based Data sharing

1. AUTHENTICATE
   Log in via SingPass to authenticate yourself.

2. PROVIDE CONSENT
   Keep track of what you’re sharing. The application asks for your consent before retrieving the data in your MyInfo profile.

3. PRE-FILL FORMS
   It’s as easy as clicking a button! The application will be populated with data retrieved from your MyInfo profile at the click of your mouse.

Nigeria

- The Central Bank of Nigeria in collaboration with all banks in Nigeria on February 14, 2014, launched a centralized biometric identification system for the banking industry tagged Bank Verification Number (BVN). It is a unique ID number that is issued to every bank customer at enrolment and linked to every account at a Nigerian Bank.

- The objectives of the project include: to provide a uniform industrially accepted unique identity for bank customers, to authenticate transactions without the use of cards using only biometric features and PIN, and identification of blacklisted customers.

- It involves identifying an individual based on physiological or behavioral attributes, such as fingerprint, signature and others. This is meant to avoid identity theft and track suspicious transactions.

- It is believed that harmonization of all private and government agency databases could save the country about USD 110 million in operational costs by the different government agencies for their stand-alone data collection and evaluation.

- As of 2019, Nigerian passports are also being linked to the BVN.
Clients

Send required information

Instruct cross-border transactions

Send daily reports with data to uniquely identify the sender.

Send or update required information

Provide aggregate statistics about their clients’ operation

Mexican banks

Centralized Databases

The transactional database categorizes clients based in their transactional level and generates other inputs for the KYC database

Includes client identification, operational category, and other KYC information

Validation processes

Authorities have access to the databases’ contents

National Authorities
RELEVANT MATERIALS ON DIGITAL ID


- Forthcoming FIGI toolkit on Digital ID (The World Bank)
List of Consulted Institutions

1. Banco de México
2. Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas
3. Central Bank of Armenia
4. Central Bank of Jordan
5. Central Bank of Nigeria
6. Czech National Bank
7. Latvijas Banka
9. Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK), Indonesia
10. Saudi Arabian Monetary Authority
11. South African Reserve Bank
12. The Central Bank of Russia
13. UK Financial Conduct Authority/ UK Cabinet Office
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