

XII BIS – CEMLA Roundtable, this time on: Reserve Management and FX Intervention

Manuel Ramos-Francia, Director General, CEMLA

Cartagena de Indias, Colombia

June 17 and 18, 2019

Session I - Goals, benefits, and costs of reserve accumulation/draw downs

Reserve Accumulation: Overview

- 1 The **Global Financial Crisis (GFC)** led to an unprecedented accommodative monetary policy stance in the main AEs (UMPs). This led to an increase in the amount and volatility of K flows to EMEs.
- 2 Arguably, such a stance **led to the overvaluation of exchange rates** in several economies.
- 3 Some of these responded by accumulating international reserves, attempting to **maintain their “competitiveness”**.
- 4 The **GFC** provided additional incentives for authorities to accumulate international reserves, prominently, **self-insurance**.
- 5 One should think about **international reserves** from a **broad perspective**, knowing that they are a **second-best solution to several challenges**.

Reserve Accumulation: Global Monetary Game

- 1 The global environment has been characterized by low interest rates, low economic growth, and what seems to be low inflationary pressures in AEs. Secular stagnation?
- 2 **Low natural interest rates** in the US and the main AEs (Laubach and Williams, 2003, Holston et al. 2017). Search for yield. Competitive fund industry.
- 3 Increase in the amount and volatility of capital flows. Risk taking channel of monetary policy.
- 4 Changes in the nature of players in international financial markets and ways in which markets operate.
- 5 Policy responses: IR Accumulation, FX intervention.
- 6 Liquidity risks.
- 7 The aftermath of the **GFC** has provided additional incentives for authorities to accumulate international reserves, prominently, for **self-insurance purposes**.

Reserve Accumulation: Issues

- ① A precautionary/self-insurance objective.
 - *EMEs with large reserves have done better in crises.*
 - *Sudden stops (Calvo et al., 2004) .*
 - *New players and frictions (Ramos-Francia et al., 2018). Liquidity risks.*
 - *Contagion risks.*
 - *“Leaning against the wind”.*
 - *Ambiguity aversion (uncertainty) → Robustness.*
- ② “Mercantilist” objective. Non-cooperative global growth game. Individual country vs system’s benefits.
- ③ As a by-product of other objectives.
 - *Price stability.*
 - *Financial stability.*
 - *Competitiveness.*

Reserve Accumulation: Issues

- ④ **International reserves** are a part of a **policy toolbox**, which includes:
 - *Monetary policy.*
 - *Fiscal policy.*
 - *Microprudential policy.*
 - *Macroprudential policy.*
 - *Other saving mechanisms, such as a Sovereign Wealth Funds.*
 - *Capital flow management (?). Capital controls?*
- ⑤ Individual country vs system's benefits. GFSN. There are related **safeguarding mechanism** such as:
 - *Currency swap lines among central banks.*
 - *IMF's FCL and SBA.*
 - *Regional Financing Arrangements (e.g., FLAR, CMI)*
 - *Multilateral Institutions (e.g., IMF).*

Reserve Accumulation: Costs

- 1 Accumulating **reserves is costly**. The direct one is the **cost of carry** (liabilities' rates > reserves' rates).
- 2 In addition, there are **valuations risks**.
 - Profit-sharing rules / arrangements. They are generally asymmetric, unfavorable to central banks (Archer et al. 2013)
 - Losses might lead to political economy issues and could eventually affect a central bank's autonomy (Mohanty and Turner, 2006).
- 3 **Moral hazard** in the private and public sectors (Fatum and Yetman, 2018).
 - Partly a catch-22 predicament(?).
- 4 **Safe assets** supply/demand and US interest rates.
 - Safe assets (McCauley, 2019)
 - Financial stability.

Final Remarks

- 1 The **GFC's aftermath** has been a watershed for EMEs' economic policy.
- 2 The current environment has been characterized by low interest rates, weak economic growth, and low inflation. The way markets operate has changed as well.
- 3 These elements, among others, have favored a **Global Monetary Game**, which has involved several channels, changes in risk-aversion, externalities and, generally, have complicated monetary policy in EMEs. It has made **herd-like** behavior in **capital flows** more likely. Presence of important **liquidity risks**.
- 4 Arguably, this environment has heightened **the self-insurance motive for EMEs' international reserves**.
- 5 We should not feel complacent to the apparent benign setting. **Instead, we should stay vigilant**, understanding the underlying mechanisms, so that we respond with the adequate policies, many of which involve international reserves.

References

1. **Archer, D. and P. Moser-Boehm (2013)**. “Central bank finances”, *BIS Papers*, No. 71, April.
2. **Calvo, G., Izquierdo, A. and Mejía, L. (2004)**. “On the Empirics of Sudden Stops: The Relevance of Balance-Sheet Effects”, No 10520, *NBER Working Papers*, National Bureau of Economic Research.
3. **Fatum, R. and J. Yetman (2018)**. “Accumulation of foreign currency reserves and risk-taking”, *BIS Working Papers*, No. 728, June.
4. **Holston, K., Laubach, T. and J. C. Williams (2017)**. “Measuring the natural rate of interest: International trends and determinants.” *Journal of International Economics*, 108, S59-S75.
5. **Laubach, T. and J. C. Williams (2003)**. “Measuring the natural rate of interest.” *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 85(4), 1063-1070.
6. **McCauley, R. N. (2019)**. “Safe Assets: Made, not just Born.” *BIS Working Paper*, No. 769.
7. **Mohanty, M. and P. Turner (2006)**. “Foreign Exchange Reserve Accumulation in Emerging Markets: What are the Domestic Implications?” *BIS Quarterly Review*, September.
8. **Ramos-Francia, M. and S. Garcia-Verdú (2018)**. "Is Trouble Brewing for Emerging Market Economies? An Empirical Analysis of Emerging Market Economies' Bond Flows," *Journal of Financial Stability*, Elsevier, vol. 35(C), pages 172-191.